UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,162	07/12/2007	Vincent W. Talbert	3805-062480	4070
	7590 11/10/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		CHANG, EDWARD	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	10/590,162	TALBERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EDWARD CHANG	3691			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au	iaust 2009				
· <u> </u>	·				
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dicocca in accordance with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/11/2009. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the response filed on 12th of August 2009.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6~8, 11~14, 16, 19, 22, 24, 28~30, 33, 34, 36, 39, 42, and 44 are amended.
- 3. Claims 1-44 are currently pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. The rejection has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12th of August 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Referring to the previous Office action, Examiner has cited relevant portions of the references as a means to illustrate the systems as taught by the prior art. As a means of providing further clarification as to what is taught by the references used in the first Office action, Examiner has expanded the teachings for comprehensibility while maintaining the same grounds of rejection of the claims, except as noted above in the section labeled "Status of Claims." This information is intended to assist in illuminating the teachings of the references while providing evidence that establishes further support for the rejections of the claims.

Art Unit: 3691

With regard to the limitations of claims 1 and 28, Applicant argues Lee does not

teach "...transmitting, by the processor, information based on the identifier to the

merchant instructing the merchant of an action to take..." The Examiner

respectfully disagrees. Examiner has cited additional citations in the bottom to

teach these limitations. Please further clarify the invention according to the

specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

8. Claims 1~44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et

al. (hereinafter "Lee"); (US 2002/0099649 A1).

As per Claims 1, 24, 44:

Lee as shown discloses the following limitations:

receiving a consumer transaction data set including a plurality of data

fields into a central credit issuer database; (See at least Fig.3, Item 304,

322)

Art Unit: 3691

• determining, by a processor of a credit issuer, a processing queue based upon the data contained in at least one of the data fields in the consumer transaction data set, the processing queue including a plurality of prioritized target transactions; (See at least Fig.3, Item 302, 320)

- comparing, by the processor, at least one data field from the consumer transaction data set directed to the consumer engaged in the prioritized target transaction with at least one data field in at least one of the central credit issuer database and a third party database; (See at least Fig.3, Item 308, 314, 326)
- determining, by the processor, an identifier based upon the comparison;
 (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0081+, "...determine whether a given transaction of a purchaser is fraudulent (fraud scores)...compare that transaction with prior transactions of the purchaser...")
- transmitting, by the processor, information based on the identifier to the merchant instructing the merchant of an action to take, wherein the transmitting is completed prior to at least one of goods being shipped by the merchant to the consumer and services being performed by the merchant. (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0075+, "...merchant's fraudrisk prediction system to automatically determine actions based on the fraud scores...")

As per Claim 2:

Art Unit: 3691

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

• comprising the step of contacting the consumer to verify the transaction based upon the identifier in step (d). (See at least Page 27, Paragraph

As per Claim 3:

0348+, "...customer contacted...")

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

wherein at least one of steps (b)-(d) are performed by a central credit
issuer. (See at least Page 24, Paragraph 0290+, "...authorized by the
issuer...")

As per Claims 4, 25:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 wherein at least one of steps (a)-(d) are automatically performed by a computing device. (See at least Fig.2, Item 200, 112, 102)

As per Claims 5, 26:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

Art Unit: 3691

• wherein the computing device is at least one of a personal computer, a

networked device, a laptop, a palmtop, a personal digital assistant and a

server. (See at least Fig.2, Item 200, Proxy server)

As per Claims 6, 28:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the consumer transaction data set includes at least one field

populated with data reflecting the transaction and/or the consumer. (See

at least Page 1, Paragraph 0003+, "...name, address...")

As per Claims 7, 29:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the central credit issuer database includes a plurality of fields

populated with data reflecting the consumer. (See at least Page 2,

Paragraph 0039+, "...name, address, phone number...")

As per Claims 8, 30:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

Paragraph 0116+, "...other transaction information sources...")

Art Unit: 3691

wherein the third party database includes a plurality of fields populated with data reflecting the consumer. (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0039+, "...name, address, phone number..."); (Also see at least Page 7,

As per Claims 9, 31:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 wherein the central credit issuer database includes at least one subdatabase containing at least one field therein. (See at least Page 7, Paragraph 0116+, "...other transaction information sources...")

As per Claims 10, 32:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 wherein the central credit issuer database includes at least one of: (i) a transaction database including fields populated by data reflecting transaction information; (ii) a verification database including fields

Art Unit: 3691

populated by data reflecting verification information; and (iii) a credit issuer database including fields populated by data reflecting credit issuer information. (See at least Page 3, Paragraph 0043+, "...information about the requested transaction...")

As per Claim 11:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 receiving a third party data set from at least one third party database into the central credit issuer database. (See at least Fig.1, Item 129)

As per Claim 12:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 Receiving a credit issuer consumer credit history data set from a credit issuer into the central credit issuer database. (See at least Page 4, Paragraph 0066+, "...the historical data...")

Art Unit: 3691

As per Claims 13, 33:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

comprising the step of categorizing the prioritized target transaction based

upon the identifier, thereby providing a categorized target transaction.

(See at least Page 7, Paragraph 0116+, "...three categories of

information...")

As per Claims 14, 34:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

wherein an action is performed based upon the categorized target

transaction. (See at least Page 7, Paragraph 0116+, "...evaluation...")

As per Claims 15, 35:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the action is at least one of: (i) interacting with the merchant; (ii)

interacting with the customer; (iii) communicating with the merchant; (iv)

communicating with the customer; (v) gathering additional transaction

data; (vi) gathering additional customer data; (vii) gathering additional

Art Unit: 3691

merchant data; (viii) approving the categorized transaction; (ix) denying

the categorized transaction; (x) queuing the categorized transaction for

further delayed action; (xi) interacting with the central credit issuer

database; and (xii) requesting further data from at least one of the

customer, the merchant, a credit issuer, a credit issuer database, a third

party and a third party database. (See at least Page 7, Paragraph 0116+)

As per Claims 16, 36:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

comprising the step of determining a verification queue at least partially

based upon the identifier, thereby providing at least one verification target

consumer. (See at least Page 4, Paragraph 0067+, "...scoring system...")

As per Claims 17, 37:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

performing an action directed to at least one of the verification target

consumer and the verification target transaction. (See at least Page 4,

Paragraph 0065+, "...scoring request and estimates...")

As per Claims 18, 38:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 wherein the verification queue is dynamically determined and modified in a real-time format. (See at least Page 7, Paragraph 0116+, "...dynamic and static components...updated in real-time with each other...")

As per Claims 19, 39:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the verification queue is determined based upon a set of predetermined rules directed to at least one of the data fields of at least one of the consumer transaction data set, the central credit issuer database and the identifier. (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0076+, "...eFalcon system operates....using the rule engine...")

As per Claims 20, 40:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

 wherein the processing queue is dynamically determined and modified in a real-time format. (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0075+, "...formulated as computational rules...rule engine integrating a real-time decision making process into the merchant's order fulfillment system...")

Art Unit: 3691

As per Claims 21, 41:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

• wherein the processing queue is determined based upon a set of

predetermined rules directed to at least one of the data fields of the

consumer transaction data set. (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0075+,

"...formulated as computational rules...")

As per Claims 22, 42:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

comprising the step of providing an indicator to a user, the indicator based

upon the determined identifier. (See at least Fig.6, Item 600)

As per Claim 23, 43:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee

also discloses the following limitations:

• wherein the indicator is at least one of: (i) a visual indicator that is at least one of a letter, a symbol, a term, a word, a phrase, a number, a color, a picture and a visual representation; and (ii) an audio indicator that is at least one of a sound, an alarm, an audio file, a digital sound, and an analog sound. (See at least Fig.6, Item 600)

As per Claim 27:

Lee discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lee also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the input mechanism is at least one of a direct-input device, a
keyboard, a transmission device, a modem, a network and the Internet.
 (See at least Fig.1, Item 116, 121, 104)

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

Art Unit: 3691

CFR 1.136(a).

final action.

11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Art Unit: 3691

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner

should be directed to Edward Chang whose telephone number is 571.270.3092.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI can be reached at 571.272.6771.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent

and Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

Art Unit: 3691

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

October 29, 2009 /Edward Chang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3691

/Lalita M Hamilton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691